

Avoiding Rational Distances*

Ashutosh Kumar[†]

July 23, 2012

Abstract

We show that for any set of reals X there is a $Y \subseteq X$ such X and Y have same Lebesgue outer measure and the distance between any two distinct points in Y is irrational.

1 Introduction

Péter Komjáth has asked the following question in [1]: Let X be a subset of Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^n$. Is there always a $Y \subseteq X$ such that X and Y have same outer measure and the distance between any two distinct points of Y is irrational? In [2] he showed that $\mathbb{R}^n$ can be colored by countably many colors such that the distance between any two points of the same color is irrational. It follows that one can always find a subset of positive outer measure that avoids rational distances. Under the assumption that there is no weakly inaccessible cardinal below the continuum, he also showed in [1] that in dimension one we can always find a subset Y of full outer measure in X , avoiding rational distances. Moti Gitik and Saharon Shelah showed the following in [3], [4]: For any sequence $\langle A_n : n \in \omega \rangle$ of sets of reals, there is disjoint refinement of full outer measure; i.e., there is a sequence $\langle B_n : n \in \omega \rangle$ of pairwise disjoint sets such that $B_n \subseteq A_n$ and they have the same outer measure. It follows that one can omit integer distances in dimension one while preserving outer measure. Their proof employs one of their results about forcing with ideals that says that forcing with a sigma ideal cannot be isomorphic to a product of Cohen and Random forcings. Here we answer Komjáth's question positively in dimension one.

Acknowledgements: I am grateful to my advisor Arnold Miller for several useful discussions. I would also like to thank Péter Komjáth for informing me about this question.

2 A theorem of Gitik and Shelah

Suppose A is a subset of $\mathbb{R}^n$. We say that $B \subseteq A$ is full in A if $\text{env}(A) = \text{env}(B)$ where by $\text{env}(X)$ we denote a G_δ measurable envelope of X ; i.e., $\text{env}(X)$ is a G_δ set containing X

*2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 03E75. Key words and phrases: Outer measure, Forcing

[†]University of Wisconsin Madison, Wisconsin 53706; email: akumar@math.wisc.edu

such that the inner measure of $\text{env}(X) \setminus X$ is zero. If the outer measure of A is finite this is equivalent to saying that A and B have same outer measure.

Let $\mathcal{T}$ be a subtree of $\omega^{<\omega}$ such that every node in $\mathcal{T}$ has at least two children; i.e., for every $\sigma \in \mathcal{T}$, $|\{n \in \omega : \sigma n \in \mathcal{T}\}| \geq 2$.

Definition 2.1. Call a family $\langle A_\sigma : \sigma \in \mathcal{T} \rangle$ of subsets of a set A , a full tree on A if:

- $A = A_\phi$, and for every $\sigma \in \mathcal{T}$,
- A_σ is a disjoint union of $A_{\sigma n}$'s where $\sigma n \in \mathcal{T}$
- A_σ is full in A .

The following application of Theorem 2.3 is implicit in [3]:

Theorem 2.2. Let $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ and let $\langle A_\sigma : \sigma \in \mathcal{T} \rangle$ be a full tree on A . Then there is a $B \subseteq A$ full in A such that for every $\sigma \in \mathcal{T}$, $A_\sigma \setminus B$ is full in A_σ .

This theorem is a consequence of the following theorem in [4]:

Theorem 2.3. Suppose I is a sigma ideal over a set X . Then forcing with I cannot be isomorphic to $\text{Cohen} \times \text{Random}$.

Let us explain how Theorem 2.2 follows from Theorem 2.3. It is clearly enough to show that there is a non null $B \subseteq A$ such that $A_\sigma \setminus B$ is full in A_σ for every $\sigma \in \mathcal{T}$, for then we can subtract $\text{env}(B)$ from every node of our tree and repeat until we exhaust $\text{env}(A)$. Suppose that this fails so that for every non null $B \subseteq A$, there is some $\sigma \in \mathcal{T}$ such that $\text{env}(A_\sigma)$ is strictly larger than $\text{env}(A_\sigma \setminus B)$. Consider the map that sends every positive outer measure subset $B \subseteq A$ to the supremum, in the complete Boolean algebra $\text{Cohen} \times \text{Random}$, of all pairs (σ, E) where $\sigma \in \mathcal{T}$ and E is a positive measure Borel subset of $\text{env}(A)$ such that E is disjoint with $\text{env}(A_\sigma \setminus B)$. This gives a dense embedding from $\mathcal{P}(A)/\text{Null}$ to $\text{Cohen} \times \text{Random}$ contradicting the fact that they cannot be forcing isomorphic.

3 The main result

Theorem 3.1. Let $X \subset \mathbb{R}$ be a set of positive outer measure. Then there is a $Y \subseteq X$ such that Y is full in X and the distance of any pair of distinct points in Y is irrational.

Proof of Theorem 3.1: Let $|X| = \kappa$. Let $X_0 = \langle x_\alpha : \alpha < \kappa \rangle$ be a set of representatives from the partition on X induced by the relation $x \sim y$ iff $x - y$ is rational. Let $\langle r_n : n \geq 1 \rangle$ be a list of all nonzero rationals. For each $n \geq 1$, let $f_n : X_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be defined by $f_n(x_\alpha) = x_\alpha + r_n$, if $x_\alpha + r_n \in X$, otherwise $f_n(x_\alpha) = x_\alpha$, also put $X_n = \text{range}(f_n)$. Let f_0 be identity on X_0 . For $n > m \geq 1$, let $F_n^m = f_n \circ f_m^{-1} : X_m \rightarrow X_n$.

We will inductively define a sequence $\langle K_n : n \geq 0 \rangle$ of pairwise disjoint subsets of κ such that $X_n \upharpoonright K_n = \langle f_n(x_\alpha) : \alpha \in K_n \rangle$ is full in X_n . Theorem 3.1 will immediately follow. We'll need the following lemma:

Lemma 3.2. *Let $n > m \geq 0$, and Y be a positive outer measure subset of X_m . Then there is a partition $\{Y_i : 1 \leq i \leq k\}$ of Y , such that for every i ,*

- Y_i is full in Y and
- for every $W \subseteq Y_i$, if W is full in Y_i , then $F_n^m[W]$ is full in $F_n^m[Y_i]$. In this case we say that $F_n^m \upharpoonright Y_i$ is fullness preserving.

Proof of Lemma 3.2: We will make several uses of the following result of Luzin: Any set of reals X can be partitioned into two full subsets ([5]). Note that $F = F_n^m \upharpoonright Y$ translates a finite list of pieces of Y . Let $Y = T_1 \sqcup T_2 \sqcup \dots \sqcup T_k$ where T_i is translated by some rational r_i (possibly, some $r_i = 0$). Use induction on k . If $k = 1$ $Y_0 = Y$ works. So assume $k = l + 1$. Let $Z = \bigcup \{T_i : 1 \leq i \leq l\}$. Let $\{Z_i : 1 \leq i \leq l\}$ be a partition of Z such that each Z_i is full in Z and $F \upharpoonright Z_i$ is fullness preserving. Let $E_1 = \text{env}(Z)$, $E_2 = \text{env}(Y_k)$ and $D = E_1 \cap E_2$. Let W_1, W_2 be a partition of $Z_1 \cap (E_1 \setminus D)$ into two full subsets. Let $\{V_j : 1 \leq j \leq k\}$ be a partition of $Y_k \cap (E_2 \setminus D)$ into k full subsets. Set $Y_1 = W_1 \cup (Z_1 \cap D) \cup V_1$. For $2 \leq i \leq l$, put $Y_i = Z_i \cup V_i$ and let $Y_k = W_2 \cup (D \cap Y_k) \cup V_k$. Then $\{Y_i : 1 \leq i \leq k\}$ is a partition of Y with the required properties.

Claim 3.3. *There exists $K_0 \subseteq \kappa$, such that $X_0 \upharpoonright K_0 = \{x_\alpha : \alpha \in K_0\}$ is full in X_0 and for every $n \geq 1$, $X_n \upharpoonright (\kappa \setminus K_0)$ is full in X_n .*

Proof of Claim 3.3: Using Lemma 3.2, construct a full tree $\langle Y_\sigma : \sigma \in 2^{<\omega} \rangle$ on $Y = X_0$ such that

- for each $\sigma \in 2^n$, $n \geq 1$ and for each $1 \leq i \leq n$, $f_i \upharpoonright Y_\sigma$ is fullness preserving

Now Theorem 2.2 will imply that there is some $K_0 \subseteq \kappa$ such that $X_0 \upharpoonright K_0$ is full in X_0 and for every $\sigma \in 2^{<\omega}$, $Y_\sigma \upharpoonright (\kappa \setminus K_0)$ is full in Y_σ . Fix any $n \geq 1$ and note that for each $\sigma \in 2^n$, $f_n \upharpoonright Y_\sigma$ is fullness preserving so that $f_n[Y_\sigma \upharpoonright (\kappa \setminus K_0)]$ is full in $f_n[Y_\sigma]$. It follows that $X_n \upharpoonright \kappa \setminus K_0 = \bigcup \{f_n[Y_\sigma \upharpoonright (\kappa \setminus K_0)] : \sigma \in 2^n\}$ is full in $\bigcup \{f_n[Y_\sigma] : \sigma \in 2^n\} = X_n$.

Now suppose we have already obtained pairwise disjoint subset $\{K_i : 0 \leq i \leq n\}$ of subsets of κ such that

- for each $0 \leq i \leq n$, $X_i \upharpoonright K_i$ is full in X_i
- for each $j > n$, $X_j \upharpoonright (\kappa \setminus \bigcup \{K_i : 1 \leq i \leq n\})$ is full in X_j .

Then we can (using Lemma 3.2 and Theorem 2.2) obtain K_{n+1} as in the proof of Claim 3.3 above.

References

- [1] P. Komjáth: Set theoretic constructions in Euclidean spaces, New Trends in Discrete and Computational Geometry (J. Pach, ed.), Springer, 1993, 303-325

- [2] P. Komjáth: A decomposition theorem for $\mathbb{R}^n$, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 120 (1994), 921-927
- [3] M. Gitik and S. Shelah: More on simple forcing notions and forcings with ideals, Annals Pure and Applied Logic 59 (1993), 219-238
- [4] M. Gitik and S. Shelah: More on real-valued measurable cardinals and forcing with ideals, Israel J. Math 124 (2001), 221-242
- [5] N. Lusin: Sur la décomposition des ensembles, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris (1934)